

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT 271
Bloomington, Minnesota

REQUEST FOR SCHOOL BOARD ACTION

DATE OF BOARD MEETING: June 11, 2018

SUBJECT: Intermediate District 917 Long-Term Facility Maintenance Budget

ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT: Finance

APPROVAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE CABINET MEMBER: Rod Y. Zivkovich, Executive Director of Finance and Support Services *RyZ*

RESOLUTION FOR BOARD TO ADOPT:

RESOLVED, that the School Board of Independent School District 271 approves Intermediate School District No. 917's Long-Term Facility Maintenance Program Budget and authorizing the inclusion of a proportionate share of those projects in the District's application for long-term facility maintenance revenue.

RECOMMENDATION OF SUPERINTENDENT:

Approve. *[Signature]*

DETAILED BACKGROUND:

The School Board of Intermediate School District 917 has approved a long-term facility maintenance program budget for its facilities for the 2019-20 school year in the amount of \$69,200.00. Member districts may include their proportionate share of the costs of the intermediate school district program in their long-term facility maintenance application, subject to the approval of the Commissioner of Education. Bloomington's portion for 2019-20 is \$20,449.57.

Minnesota Department of Education		Division of School Finance 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266		Long-Term Facility Maintenance Revenue Application – Ten-Year Expenditure								ED - 02478-03	
INSTRUCTIONS Enter estimated expenditures that are allowable uses of Long-term Facilities Maintenance Revenue under MS 123B.595, Subd. 10, by UFARS Finance Code by fiscal year in the space provided.													
EXHIBIT A				District Name: Pool District 917				District #		\$917			
				District Contact for Questions on this Spreadsheet:				E-mail: nicolle.roush@isd917.k12.mn.us					
				Name:				Phone #: (651) 423-8227					
Fiscal Year, Ending June 30th -->		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028		
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES:													
Health and Safety, Excluding Projects in Finance codes 358, 363 and 366 Costing > \$100,000 per Site													
Finance	Category												
347	Physical Hazards	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,060	\$2,122	\$2,185	\$2,251	\$2,319	\$2,388	\$2,460	\$2,534		
349	Other Hazardous Materials	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
352	Environmental Health & Safety Management	\$22,850	\$23,500	\$24,205	\$24,931	\$25,679	\$26,449	\$27,243	\$28,060	\$28,902	\$29,769		
358	Asbestos Removal and Encapsulation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
363	Fire Safety	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
366	Indoor Air Quality	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Total Health and Safety Capital Projects	\$24,850	\$25,500	\$26,265	\$27,053	\$27,865	\$28,700	\$29,561	\$30,448	\$31,362	\$32,303		
Health and Safety, Projects Costing > \$100,000 per Site													
358	Asbestos Removal and Encapsulation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
363	Fire Safety	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
366	Indoor Air Quality	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Total Health and Safety Capital Projects \$100,000 or More	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Remodeling for Pre-K instruction approved under M.S. 124D.151													
Finance	Category												
355	Remodeling for Pre-K instruction approved by the commissioner	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Accessibility													
Finance	Category												
367	Accessibility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Deferred Capital Expenditures and Maintenance Projects													
Finance	Category												
368	Building Envelope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
369	Building Hardware and Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
370	Electrical (LED lighting)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$272,250	\$0	\$0	\$0		
379	Interior Surfaces (Gym floor & front entrance Vestibule Fy27)	\$0	\$43,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$119,063	\$0		
380	Mechanical Systems (roof top units)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$0	\$290,400	\$297,600	\$304,800	\$0		
381	Plumbing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
382	Professional Services and Salary	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
383	Roof Systems	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$420,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$542,500	\$0	\$0		
384	Site Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Total Deferred Capital Expense and Maintenance	\$0	\$43,700	\$0	\$420,000	\$276,000	\$0	\$562,650	\$840,100	\$423,863	\$0		
Total Annual 10 Year Plan Expenditures		\$24,850	\$69,200	\$26,265	\$447,053	\$303,865	\$28,700	\$592,211	\$870,548	\$455,225	\$32,303		

Intermediate School District No. 917
Levy by Member District, 2018 Payable 2019

Exhibit B

Participating Districts (9):

ISD #	Name	Pay 2018 Taxable Net Tax Capacity					Combined Total	District %	District's Portion	NTC Rate
		Dakota (19)	Scott (70)	Goodhue (25)	Washington (82)	Hennepin (27)				
6	South St. Paul	13,705,535	-	-	-	-	13,705,535	3.04%	2,104.19	0.01535%
191	Burnsville	53,157,632	17,684,800	-	-	-	70,842,432	15.72%	10,876.34	0.01535%
192	Farmington	30,822,083	-	-	-	-	30,822,083	6.84%	4,732.07	0.01535%
194	Lakeville	58,844,645	12,973,468	-	-	-	71,818,113	15.93%	11,026.14	0.01535%
195	Randolph	4,409,519	-	658,047	-	-	5,067,566	1.12%	778.02	0.01535%
197	West St. Paul	63,213,216	-	-	-	-	63,213,216	14.02%	9,705.04	0.01535%
199	Inver Grove Heights	28,758,244	-	-	-	-	28,758,244	6.38%	4,415.21	0.01535%
200	Hastings	29,246,519	0	34,841	4,024,589	-	33,305,949	7.39%	5,113.42	0.01535%
271	Bloomington	-	101,770	-	-	133,095,317	133,197,087	29.55%	20,449.57	0.01535%
		282,157,393	30,760,038	692,888	4,024,589	133,095,317	450,730,225	100.00%	69,200.00	

Note: The Taxable Net Tax Capacity (TNTC) consists of net tax capacity, less captured tax increment and fiscal disparities contribution.

FY20 Levy: \$69,200.00

ISD #	Name	APU Est 2018-19	APU District %	Combined TNTC	NTC District %	50/50	District's Portion	NTC Rate
						Blended %	LTFM Levy	
6	South St. Paul	3,937.74	6.62%	13,705,535	3.04%	4.83%	3,342.37	0.02439%
191	Burnsville	9,755.60	16.40%	70,842,432	15.72%	16.06%	11,112.24	0.01569%
192	Farmington	7,498.20	12.60%	30,822,083	6.84%	9.72%	6,727.15	0.02183%
194	Lakeville	11,952.60	20.09%	71,818,113	15.93%	18.01%	12,464.96	0.01736%
195	Randolph	731.40	1.23%	5,067,566	1.12%	1.18%	814.41	0.01607%
197	West St. Paul	5,346.90	8.99%	63,213,216	14.02%	11.51%	7,962.39	0.01260%
199	Inver Grove Heights	4,243.98	7.13%	28,758,244	6.38%	6.76%	4,676.00	0.01626%
200	Hastings	4,762.00	8.00%	33,305,949	7.39%	7.70%	5,326.39	0.01599%
271	Bloomington	11,260.40	18.93%	133,197,087	29.55%	24.24%	16,774.08	0.01259%
		59,488.82	100.0%	450,730,225	100.0%	100.0%	69,200.00	

**Intermediate District No. 917, Minnesota
Current Formula Allocation**

Total Levy: \$69,200

Property Type	Estimated Market Value (a)	Pay 19 Levy NTC Est. Tax Rate (b):	South St. Paul (6)	Burnsville (191)	Farmington (192)	Lakeville (194)	Randolph (195)	West St. Paul (197)	Inver Grove Heights (199)	Hastings (200)	Bloomington (271)
			\$2,104 \$13,705,535 0.015%	\$10,876 \$70,842,432 0.015%	\$4,732 \$30,822,083 0.015%	\$11,026 \$71,818,113 0.015%	\$778 \$5,067,566 0.015%	\$9,705 \$63,213,216 0.015%	\$4,415 \$28,758,244 0.015%	\$5,113 \$33,305,949 0.015%	\$20,450 \$133,197,087 0.015%
Residential Homestead	100,000		0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
	150,000		0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
	200,000		0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28
	225,000		0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
	250,000		0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36
	300,000		0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
	400,000		0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61
	500,000		0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77
	750,000		1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
	1,000,000		1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73
Commercial/Industrial	\$100,000		\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23
	150,000		0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
	250,000		0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
	500,000		1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.42
	1,000,000		2.96	2.96	2.96	2.96	2.96	2.96	2.96	2.96	2.96
	3,000,000		9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10	9.10
	5,000,000		15.24	15.24	15.24	15.24	15.24	15.24	15.24	15.24	15.24
Apartments (4 or More Units)	\$ 50,000		\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.10
	75,000		0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14
	100,000		0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
	200,000		0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38
	500,000		0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
	1,000,000		1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92
	3,000,000		5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76
	5,000,000		9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60	9.60
Seasonal/Recreational (Residential)	\$ 50,000		\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.08
	100,000		0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
	150,000		0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23
	200,000		0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31
	250,000		0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38
Agricultural Homestead	Value per Acre \$ 7,200	Per Acre	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01
	(Excludes dwelling, up to \$1.94M in total EMV)										
Agricultural, Non-Homestead		Per Acre	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01

(a) Estimated market value is the assessed value, the basis for how each property's taxable market value is calculated.

(b) The tax rate increase is derived by dividing the required amount levied for debt service by total net tax capacity for all properties in the district.

**Intermediate District No. 917, Minnesota
50/50 Blended Allocation Formula**

Total Levy: \$69,200

Property Type	Estimated Market Value (a)	Pay 19 Levy NTC Est. Tax Rate (b):	South St. Paul (6)	Burnsville (191)	Farmington (192)	Lakeville (194)	Randolph (195)	West St. Paul (197)	Inver Grove Heights (199)	Hastings (200)	Bloomington (271)
			\$3,342 \$13,705,535 0.024%	\$11,112 \$70,842,432 0.016%	\$6,727 \$30,822,083 0.022%	\$12,465 \$71,818,113 0.017%	\$814 \$5,067,566 0.016%	\$7,962 \$63,213,216 0.013%	\$4,676 \$28,758,244 0.016%	\$5,326 \$33,305,949 0.016%	\$16,774 \$133,197,087 0.013%
Residential Homestead	100,000		0.18	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.09
	150,000		0.31	0.20	0.28	0.22	0.20	0.16	0.21	0.20	0.16
	200,000		0.44	0.28	0.39	0.31	0.29	0.23	0.29	0.29	0.23
	225,000		0.51	0.33	0.45	0.36	0.33	0.26	0.34	0.33	0.26
	250,000		0.57	0.37	0.51	0.41	0.38	0.30	0.38	0.38	0.30
	300,000		0.71	0.45	0.63	0.50	0.47	0.36	0.47	0.46	0.36
	400,000		0.97	0.63	0.87	0.69	0.64	0.50	0.65	0.64	0.50
	500,000		1.22	0.78	1.09	0.87	0.80	0.63	0.81	0.80	0.63
	750,000		1.98	1.27	1.77	1.41	1.31	1.02	1.32	1.30	1.02
	1,000,000		2.74	1.76	2.46	1.95	1.81	1.42	1.83	1.80	1.42
Commercial/Industrial	\$100,000		\$0.37	\$0.24	\$0.33	\$0.26	\$0.24	\$0.19	\$0.24	\$0.24	\$0.19
	150,000		0.55	0.35	0.49	0.39	0.36	0.28	0.37	0.36	0.28
	250,000		1.04	0.67	0.93	0.74	0.68	0.54	0.69	0.68	0.54
	500,000		2.26	1.45	2.02	1.61	1.49	1.17	1.50	1.48	1.16
	1,000,000		4.69	3.02	4.20	3.34	3.09	2.42	3.13	3.08	2.42
	3,000,000		14.45	9.29	12.93	10.28	9.52	7.46	9.63	9.48	7.46
	5,000,000		24.20	15.57	21.66	17.23	15.95	12.50	16.14	15.87	12.50
Apartments (4 or More Units)	\$ 50,000		\$0.15	\$0.10	\$0.14	\$0.11	\$0.10	\$0.08	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.08
	75,000		0.23	0.15	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.12
	100,000		0.30	0.20	0.27	0.22	0.20	0.16	0.20	0.20	0.16
	200,000		0.61	0.39	0.55	0.43	0.40	0.31	0.41	0.40	0.31
	500,000		1.52	0.98	1.36	1.08	1.00	0.79	1.02	1.00	0.79
	1,000,000		3.05	1.96	2.73	2.17	2.01	1.57	2.03	2.00	1.57
	3,000,000		9.15	5.88	8.18	6.51	6.03	4.72	6.10	6.00	4.72
	5,000,000		15.24	9.80	13.64	10.85	10.04	7.87	10.16	10.00	7.87
Seasonal/Recreational (Residential)	\$ 50,000		\$0.12	\$0.08	\$0.11	\$0.09	\$0.08	\$0.06	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.06
	100,000		0.24	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.13
	150,000		0.37	0.24	0.33	0.26	0.24	0.19	0.24	0.24	0.19
	200,000		0.49	0.31	0.44	0.35	0.32	0.25	0.33	0.32	0.25
	250,000		0.61	0.39	0.55	0.43	0.40	0.31	0.41	0.40	0.31
Agricultural Homestead	Value per Acre \$ 7,200	Per Acre	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.00
	(Excludes dwelling, up to \$1.94M in total EMV)										
Agricultural, Non-Homestead		Per Acre	\$0.02	\$0.01	\$0.02	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.01

(a) Estimated market value is the assessed value, the basis for how each property's taxable market value is calculated.

(b) The tax rate increase is derived by dividing the required amount levied for debt service by total net tax capacity for all properties in the district.

EXTRACT OF MINUTES OF MEETING
OF SCHOOL BOARD OF
SCHOOL DISTRICT #271
(Bloomington)
STATE OF MINNESOTA

Pursuant to due call and notice thereof, a School Board meeting of School District No. 271, State of Minnesota, was held on June 11, 2018, at 7:00 p.m., for the purpose, in part, of approving the Intermediate School District No. 917's Long-Term Facility Maintenance budget and authorizing the inclusion of a proportionate share of Intermediate School District's long-term facility maintenance projects in the district's application for long-term facility maintenance.

Member _____ introduced the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION APPROVING INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 917'S LONG-TERM FACILITY MAINTENANCE PROGRAM BUDGET AND AUTHORIZING THE INCLUSION OF A PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THOSE PROJECTS IN THE DISTRICT'S APPLICATION FOR LONG-TERM FACILITY MAINTENANCE REVENUE

BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of District No. 271, State of Minnesota, as follows:

1. The School Board of Intermediate School District 917 has approved a long-term facility maintenance program budget for its facilities for the 2019-2020 school year in the amount of \$69,200 of which our District #271 proportionate share is \$20,449.57. The various components of this program budget are attached as Exhibit A hereto and are incorporated herein by reference. Said budget is hereby approved. (Exhibit A)
2. Minnesota Statutes, Section 123B.53, Subdivision 1, as amended, provides that if an intermediate school district's long-term facility maintenance budget is approved by the school boards of each of the intermediate school district's member school districts, each member district may include its proportionate share of the costs of the intermediate school district program in its long-term facility maintenance revenue application.
3. The proportionate share of the costs of the intermediate school district's long-term facility maintenance program for each member school district to be included in its application shall be determined by multiplying the total cost of the intermediate school district long-term facility maintenance program times a formula that weights two components equally between

the member districts; total net tax capacity and Adjusted Marginal Cost pupil units. The long-term facility maintenance costs shall be funded through annual levy instead of issuing bonds. The inclusion of this proportionate share in the district's long-term facility maintenance revenue application for fiscal year 2020 is hereby approved, subject to approval by the Commissioner of Education.

4. Upon receipt of the proportionate share of long-term facility maintenance revenue attributable to the intermediate school district program, the district shall promptly pay to the intermediate school district the applicable aid or levy proceeds.

The motion for the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly seconded by Member _____ and, upon vote being taken thereon, the following voted in favor thereof:

And the following voted against the same:

Whereupon said resolution was declared duly passed and adopted.

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN

I, the undersigned, being the duly qualified and acting Clerk of School District No. 271, State of Minnesota, hereby certify that I have carefully compared the attached and foregoing extract of minutes of a meeting of School District No. 271, held on the date therein indicated, with the original of said minutes on file in my office, and the same is a full, true and complete transcript insofar as the same relates to the approval of Intermediate School District No. 917's long-term facility maintenance program budget and authorizing the inclusion of a proportionate share of the Intermediate School District's long-term facility maintenance projects in the district's application for long-term facility maintenance revenue.

WITNESS MY HAND officially as such Clerk this 11th day of June, 2018.

Clerk
School District No. 271